Spin-orbit effects in knock-out reactions

Álvaro García Camacho University of Surrey, UK

- The toy model
- The model
- Review of approximations related to the sizes of the nuclei
- Analysing powers
- Sensitivity to the neutron optical potential

Toy model for tails



$$S(k,b) \simeq S(b) \quad k_i b = l$$

$$\sigma = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_l (2l+1) \ (1 - |S_l(l/k_i)|^2) = \int dk \frac{d\sigma}{dk} = \int dk \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_l (2l+1) \ (1 - |S_l(l/k)|^2) \ \delta(k - k_i)$$



The core deliveries



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dk} = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{l} (2l+1) \ (1 - |S_l(l/k)|^2) \ |\phi(k)|^2$$

Toy model





The reaction

Transferring to the continuum



Cross-section

(A. Bonaccorso and D. M. Brink, Phys. Rev. **C38** (1988), 1776, L. Lo Monaco and D. M. Brink, J. of Phys. **G11** (1985), 935...)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dk_1} = \frac{1}{\hat{l}_1^2} (16\pi)^2 \frac{\hbar}{mvk_f} \sum_{l_2} \frac{1 - |S_{l_2}|^2 + |1 - S_{l_2}|^2}{4} \sum_{m_1} |Y_{l_1m_1}(\beta_1, \pi)|^2$$

$$\times \sum_{m_2} |Y_{l_2m_2}(\beta_2, 0)|^2 \int P_{el}(b) |K_{m_1-m_2}(\eta b)|^2 b \ db$$

- $\beta_{1,2}$ depend on binding energies, beam velocity and masses,
- neutron-target spin-orbit force has been neglected,

•
$$\eta^2 = k_1^2 + \gamma_i^2 = k_2^2 - k_f^2$$
.

Typical energy ranges



10

Momentum distributions

(A. Bonaccorso, Phys. Rev. **C60** (1999), 054604, J. Enders et *al.*, Phys. Rev. **C65** (2002), 034318)



They are always asymmetric.

Usual approximations

The normal assumption is that ηb is big

$$K_{m_1-m_2}(\eta b) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\eta b}} e^{-\eta b} (1 + \frac{\mu - 1}{8\eta b} + \frac{(\mu - 1)(\mu - 9)}{2(8\eta b)^2} + \dots)$$

where $\mu = 4(m_1 - m_2)^2$ or

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dk_1} = \int d\mathbf{b} \sum_{l_2} \frac{\hbar}{mv} \frac{1}{k} |C_i|^2 \frac{|1 - S_{l_2}|^2 + 1 - |S_{l_2}|^2}{4} \frac{e^{\eta d}}{\eta d} \hat{l}_2^2 M_{l_1 l_2} P_{el}(b)$$

where

$$M_{l_1 l_2} = \frac{e^{-2\eta b}}{\eta b} 2\sqrt{\pi} \int dX e^{-X^2} P_{l_1}(A_i + B_i X^2) P_{l_2}(A_f + B_f X^2)$$

(F. Stancu and D. M. Brink, Phys. Rev. **C32** (1985), 1937, A. Bonaccorso, G. Piccolo and D. M. Brink, Nucl. Phys. **A441** (1985), 555)

Convergence



Suitability of the approximations depends on radii and binding energy, not on beam energy.

Polarisation

- Some angular momentum states are favored in fragmentation reactions, therefore beams coming from these reactions will be polarised (H. Okuno *et al*, Phys. Lett. **B335** (1994), 29, K. Matsuta *et al*, Phys. Lett. **B281** (1992), 214, K. Asahi *et al*, Phys. Lett. **B251** (1990), 488).
- Such a situation is handled by using *mixed states*, where there is a probability p_i to find the initial nuclei in a state $|\Psi_i\rangle$, and therefore $\langle O \rangle = \sum p_i \langle O \rangle_i$.
- The initial state is thus described by a density matrix $\rho = \sum p_i \rho_i$.

Analysing powers

The analysing power is a tensor whose components provide a relationship between the cross-sections for polarized and unpolarized beams.

$$\frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma} = (1 + \sum_{kq} t_{kq}^m T_{kq})$$

They can be related to the probability amplitude

$$R_{n_1 n_1'} = \int \sum A_{n_1} A_{n_1'}^*$$

and since

$$N_{kq} = \sum_{n_1, n_1'} \hat{k}(j_1 \ n_1 \ k \ q|j_1 \ n_1') R_{n_1 n_1'}$$

an expression for $T_{kq} = N_{kq}/N_{00}$ has been obtained. For a spin-1 particles beam

$$\frac{\sigma_{\pm 1}}{\sigma} = (1 + \frac{T_{20}}{\sqrt{2}}) \quad ; \quad \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma} = (1 - \sqrt{2} \ T_{20})$$

How to get T_{20}

We need a general probability amplitude

$$\widetilde{A}_{\sigma}^{j_{1},n_{1}}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{K}) = \sum_{j_{2}n_{2}} \sum_{m_{1}m_{2}} \sum_{\sigma'\lambda} \sum_{Ll_{2}} (l_{2} \ \lambda \ s \ \sigma | j_{2} \ n_{2}) (l_{1} \ m_{1} \ s \ \sigma' | j_{1} \ n_{1})$$

$$\times (l_{2} \ m_{2} \ s \ \sigma' | j_{2} \ n_{2}) i^{m_{1}-m_{2}} \widehat{L} e^{2i\delta_{L}} Y_{Lm_{1}-m_{2}}(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}) K_{m_{1}-m_{2}}(\eta b) (1-S_{l_{2}})$$

$$\times \frac{Y_{l_{2}\lambda}^{*}(\widehat{\mathbf{k}})}{k} (-1)^{m_{1}} Y_{l_{1}m_{1}}(\beta_{1},0) Y_{l_{2}m_{2}}^{*}(\beta_{2},0)$$

and then

$$R_{n_1n'_1} = k^2 \int d\mathbf{k} \ d\mathbf{K} \sum_{\sigma} \tilde{A}^{j_1,n_1}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{K}) (\tilde{A}^{j_1,n'_1}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{K}))^*$$

Our results for T_{20}

We have calculated some numbers for stripping of ${}^{17}C$ at 60 MeV/A, where an eikonal model calculation gives $T_{20} = 0.23$ (R.C. Johnson and J.A. Tostevin, *Analysing power of neutron removal reactions with beams of neutron-rich nuclei*, in: 'Spins in Nuclear and Hadronic Reactions', Proceedings of the RCNP-TMU Symposium (Tokyo, Japan 26 - 28 October 1999), (ed H Yabu, T Suzuki and H Toki, World Scientific (Singapore), October 2000), 155-164)

Approx.	T_{20}
Oth order	-0.24
1st order	0.10
2nd order	0.24
3rd order	0.28
M-function	0.18
Bessel function	0.32

Examining the optical potential

(J. H. Dave and C. R. Gould, Phys. Rev. C28 (1983), 2212)



- We find a strong sensitivity to the diffuseness of the imaginary part.
- The energies are too big for the range of validity of the potential

A new optical potential: JLM

- It uses Reid's hard core nucleon-nucleon interaction
- The interaction is folded with the nuclear matter density
- Its range of validity includes our region of interest (Jeukenne et al., Phys. Rev. C16 (1977), 80, Bauge et al. Phys. Rev. C58 (1998), 1118.)

Checking

Total reaction cross-section in ${}^{9}Be(p,p){}^{9}Be$



(W. Bauhoff et al. At. Dat. and Nuc. Dat. Tab. 35, 429 (1986).)

A new cross-section ⁹Be(³⁴Si,³³Si)X



21

How much are we leaving out?



It depends on binding energy, beam energy and width of the state

T_{20} with the new potential

Approx.	previous T_{20}	T_{20} with JLM
Oth order	-0.24	-0.23
1st order	0.10	0.12
2nd order	0.24	0.25
3rd order	0.28	0.31
M-function	0.18	0.19
Bessel function	0.32	0.38

Including the spin-orbit interaction

After some Racah algebra

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dk_1} \propto \int db \ bP_{el}(b) \sum_{n_1} \sum_{j_2 n_2} \sum_{m_1 m_2} \sum_{\sigma'} \sum_{l_2} (l_1 \ m_1 \ s \ \sigma' | j_1 \ n_1) (l_2 \ m_2 \ s \ \sigma' | j_2 \ n_2) \times |K_{m_1 - m_2}(\eta b)|^2 |1 - S_{l_2 j_2}|^2 (-1)^{m_1} Y_{l_1 m_1}(\beta_1, 0) Y_{l_2 m_2}^*(\beta_2, 0) \sum_{m'_2} \sum_{\sigma'' \ m'_1} (l_1 \ m'_1 \ s \ \sigma'' | j_1 \ n_1) (l_2 \ m'_2 \ s \ \sigma'' | j_2 \ n_2) (-1)^{m'_1} Y_{l_1 m'_1}(\beta_1, 0) Y_{l_2 m'_2}^*(\beta_2, 0)$$
(H. Hashim and D. M. Brink, J. Phys. **G11** (1988), 107)

Spin-orbit effects



In the T_{20} calculation we get now 0.37 rather than 0.38

Conclusions

- The validity of assumptions over radii has been examined, and they have been found to work better for cross-sections than for analysing powers,
- a more realistic optical potential has been used, whose effect seems to push the cross-section towards the region of momentum that is forbidden by the model,
- the effect of the spin-orbit force appears to be negligible in the calculation of both total cross-section and analysing powers.



Acknowledgments